# RMS INSTA-POLL

## "Would you be in favor of the RMS roster being mailed out on a requestonly basis? And, would you request

 On request?
 Would request?

 Yes = 89
 Yes = 91

 No = 19
 No = 17

[108 - responding]

one?"

### Pertinent comments:

### Yes:

-If someone requests the paper copy, I'm ok with it...perhaps a surcharge on their membership fee.

-I definitely would request one! I am also in favor of a request only basis which will save funds for RMS to spend in another way.

-Absolutely! But I'd rather get it as an MSExcel data file. No printing work or expense. No Postage expense. I can generate mailing labels easier to facilitate trading. I can edit and update as changes occur and appear in the RMS Bulletin or other newsletters.

-That would be fine by me as long as we still get it in pdf format.

-I would be interested in getting a RMS roster on request. For myself, I'd prefer to get a PDF of the roster emailed to me. I think it would save on costs all around. I myself would like to get the updated roster at least every year.

-Yes and yes. Old fashioned. Like the hard copy. I would pay extra for it.

-Yes, absolutely. This is a total waste of time and money. NO, I would not request one. NO, I would not request one.

-No strong feelings on "request only" basis – how about a hybrid – member must express interest each year or they are dropped from the list.

-If it would save money, then yes. But you would have to have copies printed up and on hand so how many would you print? By e-mail would save \$'s.

### <u>No</u>:

-The roster should NOT be request only, it gives members an up to date source of Addresses and categories.

-No - that would be another "take away" such as e-bulletins. Less service and value for the same money. Yes, I would request one.

- Seems to me with the drop off of members and general overall interest in the hobby that you aren't helping that issue by constantly trying to reduce the benefits of membership.

### **Three observations:**

1) The majority is in favor of putting the roster upon a request only basis.

2) Most members still want a roster.

3) We could save postage and printing on at least 17 rosters. Many respondents, however, were ahead of the question in suggesting that the roster be sent out via-e-mail (I didn't pose that in this issue's survey...That will be in the next survey)